FIFO can be better than LRU: the power of LAZY PROMOTION and QUICK DEMOTION



Carnegie Mellon

School of

Science

Carnegie Mellon Parallel Data Laboratory







Juncheng Yang, Ziyue Qiu, Yazhuo Zhang, Yao Yue, K. V. Rashmi

Pelíkan Foundatíon

Software cache and eviction

- Ubiquitous deployments of software caches
 - page cache, block cache, database cache
 - key-value cache, object cache...
- Cache metrics:
 - efficiency / effectiveness: miss ratio
 - throughput and scalability: requests /sec
- A core component of cache design: eviction

Cachelib

Pelikan

A long history of research centered around LRU

Least-recently-used (LRU)

Efficiency

eager promotion

Throughput

A long history of research centered around LRU

ARC, LIRS, SLRU, MQ, CACHEUS...

more techniques

Throughput

A long history of research centered around LRU

FIFO-Reinsertion, CLOCK variants

less work

Can we have the best of both worlds? System design is often to make right trade-offs

Throughput

LRU is bad, let's start with FIFO

Why FIFO?

- Many benefits
 - fewer metadata
 - less computation
 - more scalable
 - flash friendly

The drawback: FIFO cannot keep popular objects in the cache

What does FIFO need?

Retain popular objects in the cache

Evict unpopular objects faster

LAZY PROMOTION

QUICK DEMOTION

An abstraction of cache

Existing cache eviction algorithms = cache **promotion** algorithms!

logically ordered queue

An abstraction of cache

LAZY PROMOTION

Promotion only at eviction

LAZY PROMOTION: promotion only at eviction Retain popular objects with minimal efforts

- Example: FIFO-Reinsertion/CLOCK
 - reinserts an object back during eviction if it has been requested
- Higher throughput (than LRU)
 - fewer operations
 - more scalable
- Higher efficiency (than LRU)
 - more information at eviction time

LAZY PROMOTION: promotion only at eviction Retain popular objects with minimal efforts

Common wisdom We find **CLOCK** has higher -> lower miss ratios than LRU

Dataset:

- 10 datasets, 5307 traces from 2007-2020
- block, key-value, object
- 814 billion requests, 55.2 billion objects

LAZY PROMOTION: promotion only at eviction

Retain popular objects with minimal efforts

Common wisdom We find CLOCK has higher blower iss ratios than LRU

CLOCK (FIFO + LP) is also simpler, faster, and more scalable

QUICK DEMOTION

Quickly remove most new objects

QUICK DEMOTION: QUICKLY remove most new objects Evict unpopular / short-lived objects faster

- Removing less valuable objects faster is not new
 - Remove scan pages/data
- Why use quick demotion
 - Zipf workloads: unpopular objects are the majority of objects
 - Belady evicts unpopular objects faster

QUICK DEMOTION

A simple design to illustrate the power

QUICK DEMOTION (QD): probationary FIFO quickly removes many new objects

QD improves **ALL** state-of-the-art algorithms

ARC \rightarrow QD-ARC: up to 59.8% miss ratio reduction Across all traces, algorithms and sizes, mean miss ratio reduction: 2.7%

FIFO + LP + QD is better than state-of-the-art

FIFO + LP + QD:

- simple, fast, scalable

more efficient than state-of-the-art

More lazy promotion and quick demotion techniques

LAZY PROMOTION

- reinsertion
- merge (Segcache)
- periodic (FrozenHot)
- batched (CliqueMap)
- probabilistic
- QUICK DEMOTION
 - small FIFO
 - new metric

Design cache eviction algorithms like building LEGOs

Takeaways

FIFO is better than you would have expected

- FIFO + LAZY PROMOTION can be more efficient than LRU QUICK DEMOTION enables state-of-the-art efficiency
- Design new eviction algorithms using FIFO + LAZY PROMOTION + QUICK DEMOTION

Acknowledgement

- **Open-sourced traces**
- Cloudlab, PDL

- simple, fast, scalable, yet efficient
 - https://github.com/TheSys-lab/HotOS23-QD-LP

https://jasony.me juncheny@cs.cmu.edu @1a1a11a

